

Learning a global ranker: Perceptron training

[Collins, 2002]

- Presents a Perceptron learning algorithm for discriminatively training a Markov-based tagger
- Global ranking approach: all candidate solutions are considered
- Instantiation on sequential labeling problems using Viterbi-like inference
- Improved results, on POS tagging and NP chunking, compared to a MaxEnt tagger
- Best paper award at EMNLP-2002

(recall) Reranking: perceptron learning

[Collins and Duffy, 2002]

$S = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$; assume $GEN(x_i) = \{y_{i1}, \dots, y_{in_i}\}$

Initialization: set parameters $\mathbf{w} = 0$

repeat for N epochs

for $i = 1 \dots n$

$j = \arg \max_{j=1 \dots n_i} \Phi(x_i, y_{ij}) \cdot \mathbf{w}$

if $(y_i \neq y_{ij})$ **then** $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} + \Phi(x_i, y) - \Phi(x_i, y_{ij})$

end-for

end-repeat

output: \mathbf{w}

- A simple extension to dual perceptron exists: kernels and voted perceptron can be used

Learning a global ranker: Perceptron training

[Collins, 2002]

Initialization: set parameters $\mathbf{w} = 0$

repeat for N epochs

for $i = 1 \dots n$

$\hat{y}_i = \arg \max_{y \in GEN(x_i)} \Phi(x_i, y) \cdot \mathbf{w}$

if $(y_i \neq \hat{y}_i)$ **then** $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} + \Phi(x_i, y) - \Phi(x_i, \hat{y}_i)$

end-for

end-repeat

output: \mathbf{w}

- The general algorithm is the same, but now GEN generates all possible solutions
- $|GEN(x)|$ is exponential in the length of x
- The implementation cannot list all $y \in GEN(x_i)$ explicitly

Decomposition: Local/Global

[Collins, 2002]

- Restricted to sequential labeling problems:
 - ★ $x = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = x_{[1:n]} = \mathbf{x}$
 - ★ $y = t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n = t_{[1:n]} = \mathbf{t}$
- “arg max” inference is performed using Viterbi search
- Each example (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) is decomposed into a finite set of parts
 $R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.
- In a trigram based tagger (linear number of parts):
$$R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n r_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \langle t_i, t_{i-1}, t_{i-2}, \mathbf{x}, i \rangle$$

Decomposition: Feature Vectors

[Collins, 2002]

- Local feature-vector representation:

$\phi(r_i) = \phi(\langle t_i, t_{i-1}, t_{i-2}, \mathbf{x}, i \rangle) = (\phi_1(r_i), \phi_2(r_i), \dots, \phi_d(r_i))$
with local feature functions $\phi_j(r_i)$, one for each dimension

Decomposition: Feature Vectors

[Collins, 2002]

- Local feature-vector representation:

$\phi(r_i) = \phi(\langle t_i, t_{i-1}, t_{i-2}, \mathbf{x}, i \rangle) = (\phi_1(r_i), \phi_2(r_i), \dots, \phi_d(r_i))$
with local feature functions $\phi_j(r_i)$, one for each dimension

- The global feature-vector representation is the sum of local representations:

$$\Phi(x_{[1:n]}, t_{[1:n]}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(r_i)$$

Decomposition: Feature Vectors

[Collins, 2002]

- Local feature-vector representation:

$\phi(r_i) = \phi(\langle t_i, t_{i-1}, t_{i-2}, \mathbf{x}, i \rangle) = (\phi_1(r_i), \phi_2(r_i), \dots, \phi_d(r_i))$
 with local feature functions $\phi_j(r_i)$, one for each dimension

- The global feature-vector representation is the sum of local representations:

$$\Phi(x_{[1:n]}, t_{[1:n]}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(r_i)$$

- If local features are indicator functions, then the global features will be “counts”, e.g:

$$\phi_{1000}(r_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if current word } x_i \text{ is “the” and } t_i = \text{DT} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then, $\Phi_{1000}(x_{[1:n]}, t_{[1:n]}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{1000}(r_i)$ is the number of times “the” is seen tagged as DT in the example $(x_{[1:n]}, t_{[1:n]})$

Learning a global ranker: objective function

[Collins, 2002]

- $$F(x_{[1:n]}) = \hat{t}_{[1:n]} = \arg \max_{t_{[1:n]}} \text{score}(x_{[1:n]}, t_{[1:n]})$$

Learning a global ranker: objective function

[Collins, 2002]

- $$F(x_{[1:n]}) = \hat{t}_{[1:n]} = \arg \max_{t_{[1:n]}} \text{score}(x_{[1:n]}, t_{[1:n]})$$
- $$\text{score}(x_{[1:n]}, t_{[1:n]}) = \sum_{r \in R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})} \text{score}(r) =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{score}(r_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(r_i)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^d w_j \cdot \phi_j(r_i) = \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^n w_j \cdot \phi_j(r_i) =$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^d w_j \cdot \Phi_j(x_{[1:n]}, t_{[1:n]}) = \mathbf{w} \cdot \Phi(x_{[1:n]}, t_{[1:n]})$$
- $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_d)$ is the weight vector

Learning a global ranker: Perceptron training

[Collins, 2002]

Training set: $S = \{(x_{[1:n_i]}^i, t_{[1:n_i]}^i)\}_{i=1}^n$

Initialization: set parameters $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$

repeat for N epochs

for $i = 1 \dots n$

Use the Viterbi algorithm to compute:

$$\hat{t}_{[1:n_i]} = \arg \max_{t_{[1:n_i]}} \mathbf{w} \cdot \Phi(x_{[1:n_i]}^i, t_{[1:n_i]})$$

This search makes use of the decomposition of the problem: $r_i, \phi()$

if $(\hat{t}_{[1:n_i]} \neq t_{[1:n_i]}^i)$ **then**

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} + \Phi(x_{[1:n_i]}^i, t_{[1:n_i]}^i) - \Phi(x_{[1:n_i]}^i, \hat{t}_{[1:n_i]})$$

end-for

end-repeat

output: \mathbf{w}

Learning a global ranker: Perceptron training

[Collins, 2002]

- Use of the voted perceptron with “averaged” parameters
- Application to POS tagging and NP chunking
- Comparison to a MaxEnt-based tagger (MEMM with exactly the same features)
- Simple approach with 11.9% and 5.1% relative error reduction, respectively
- Best paper award at EMNLP-2002